	1
	Á
v	v

·*		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
1	Notice of Allowability	10/634,294	,294 ROBERTS ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773	
herewith (	The MAILING DATE of this communication appeteing allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Report or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS	
1. This	s communication is responsive to			
2. 🛛 The	allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-47</u> .			
3. 🛭 The	drawings filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.		
a)   * Co	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un  All b) Some* c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  ertified copies not received:  thas THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE"	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this i	national stage application from the	
<b>THIS TH</b> 5. □ A S	low. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN REE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  UBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER'		
6.	RRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date Paper No./Mail Date Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 neet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 neet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 neet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such as the	st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-t . s Amendment / Comment or in the C . 84(c)) should be written on the drawir	948) attached  ffice action of  ags in the front (not the back) of	
	POSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo ched Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)	
<del></del>	ce of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413),	
Pap	mation Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No./Mail Date <u>6/7/04</u> niner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		e nent/Comment nt of Reasons for Allowance	
	ogical Material	9.	LAWRENCE TARAZANO PRIMARY EXAMINEN	
		7	The state of the s	

Application/Control Number: 10/634,294

Art Unit: 1773

ij

## REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The closest prior art, JP-08-165357, teaches stretched films comprising cycloolefin copolymer or in a blend with a polyolefin. They teach that multilayer structures can be produce, but the examples are limited to monolayer films. The monolayer films have very high Elastic Modulus (Young's Modulus).

Example 1,  $385,030 \text{ lb/in}^2$ 

Example 2 284,466 lb/ in<sup>2</sup>

Example 3 312,913 lb/in<sup>2</sup>

Each of the values is well above the 50,000 to 200,000 lb/ in<sup>2</sup> value claimed.

While one might argue that the addition of the two outer layers will lower the Elastic Modulus of the film, it would be directly related to the choice of polyolefin since this value would be directly related to the density and comonomer content of the layers.

The applicants are also using polymers having a glass transition temperature from 25 to 45 deg. C and this is far lower than the glass transition temperatures taught in the Japanese reference. This not only makes the claims, which specifically recite this limitation allowable, but also provides a reason for not arguing "inherency" for the other claims. An argument of "inherent" properties is only valid when the materials really are the same or substantially similar. The difference in glass transition temperatures will have ramifications on all the properties claimed as it affects the temperature at which the film can be oriented.

While much of the claimed structure is taught by JP-08-165357, there are sufficient differences between the materials claimed and those used in the prior art, that the claimed films are neither anticipated nor obvious over JP-08-165357.

Art Unit: 1773

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (571)-272-1515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571)-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

dlt